

Low Carbon Logistics in a Climate-changed World

Professor Alan McKinnon

Kühne Logistics University Hamburg

Colloquium on Green Logistics

Green logistics management: balancing environmental and shareholder priorities

Naples 29th September 2016

Increase in average global temperature 1880 - 2016

GISTEMP Anomaly (including seasonal cycle)



Year-to-Date Global Temperatures

for 2016 and the other seven warmest years on record



Weather Extremes 2016

million square km









Fort McMurray

UNFCC COP 21 Conference on Climate Change December 2015



onférence sur les Changements Climatiques aris, France

Nations Unies

Bottom-up rather than topdown approach to securing country commitments: Intended Nationally **Determined** Contributions (INDCs)

International agreement to keep average global temperature 'well below' 2°C above preindustrial times and 'endeavor to limit' them to 1.5°C



The Scale of the Climate Change Challenge



Source: Clark, 2013

Moving from 4 degree to a 2 degree scenario



Source: IEA Energy Technology Perspective scenario

Comparison of the Relative Costs of Cutting Carbon Emissions in Different Sectors by 2030



Source: McKinsey (2009) Pathways to a Low Carbon Economy

- transport is more difficult and costly to decarbonize than most other sectors
- freight transport is more difficult to decarbonize than passenger transport
- should be reflected in lower carbon reduction target for freight transport

Scale of the Climate Change Challenge for Freight



Transport: 2010: 6.5 bn tonnes of CO_{2e} 2050: BAU 12 bn tonnes of CO_{2e} 2050: Limit CO_{2e} from all activity to 20bn 2050: 14% transport share = 2.8 bn tonnes



Freight share of total transport emissions: 2010: 42% 2050 60%



Freight share of total GHG emissions: 2010: 7% 2050: 16% (BAU) One of the 'most challenging sectors' in which to achieve 'deep emission reductions'



Only 13% of 158 INDCs specifically refer to freight transport Only 10% of transport mitigation measures relate to greening of freight transport

Freight tonne-kms forecast to increase between 2.5 and 4.5-fold between 2010 and 2050



Given current freight forecast, to achieve 60% reduction in freight-related CO_2 emissions in EU by 2050, average carbon intensity must fall to a fifth of its 1990 level.

Adapting the Kaya Indentity to Freight Transport

Kaya Identity (IPCC 1990)

Total CO_2 Emissions = Population x GDP/ Population x Energy/GDP x CO_2 / Energy

Freight application of the Kaya Identity

Freight CO₂ Emissions = GDP x tonne-km / GDP x vehicle-km / tonne-km x energy / vehicle-km x CO2 / energy

transport intensity asset utilisation energy efficiency carbon content

Adapting the Kaya Indentity to Freight Transport

Kaya Identity (IPCC 1990)

Total CO_2 Emissions = Population x GDP/ Population x Energy/GDP x CO_2 / Energy

Freight application of the Kaya Identity

Freight CO_2 Emissions = GDP x tonne-km / GDP x vehicle-km / tonne-km x energy / vehicle-km x CO2 / energy

transport intensity asset utilisation energy efficiency carbon content

 Freight CO2 Emissions = GDP x tonne-km / GDP x vehicle - km / tonne-km x energy / vehicle-km x CO2 / energy

 rail
 transport intensity
 asset utilisation
 energy efficiency
 carbon content

Freight CO2 Emissions = GDP x tonne-km / GDP x vehicle - km / tonne-km x energy / vehicle-km x CO2 / energywaterbornetransport intensityasset utilisationenergy efficiencycarbon content

 Freight CO2 Emissions = GDP x tonne-km / GDP x vehicle - km / tonne-km x energy / vehicle-km x CO2 / energy

 aviation
 transport intensity
 asset utilisation
 energy efficiency
 carbon content

© alan mckinnon (2016)

road

Kaya Identity (IPCC)

Total CO_2 Emissions = Population x GDP/Population x Energy/GDP x CO_2 / Energy

Freight application of the Kaya Identity



© alan mckinnon (2016)

Potential for Decarbonising Freight Transport in 15 Countries: 2010 - 2050



Source: IDDRI / SDSN (2014) 'Pathways to Deep Decarbonisation

Assessing the effect of **external** factors on the decarbonisation of logistics TIMBER framework

categories of external factor



© alan mckinnon (2015)

Assessment of the Influence of External Factors on Logistics Decarbonisation



Europe:Germany / Austria / Switzerland, UK, Netherlands, France, ItalyNorth America:US, MexicoAsia:China, India, IndonesiaAfrica:South Africa



Publication of final report as book in mid-2017

7 C approach

CALCULATE



7 C approach



Derivation of Science-based Targets for Businesses



Sectoral Disaggregation of Science-based Targets

24% AFOLU (agriculture,	Agriculture soils Agriculture	
 (agriculture, forestry, and other land use.) 	Land-use change	
6% BUILDINGS	Services/Commercial buildings Residential buildings	Services/Commercial buildings
14% transport	Other transport Aviation Rail passenger transport Heavy road passenger transport Light road passenger transport	Other transport Aviation Rail passenger transport Heavy road passenger transport Light road passenger transport
21% INDUSTRY	Other industry Pulp and paper Chemicals and petrochemicals Aluminium Iron and steel Cement	Other industry Pulp and paper Chemicals and petrochemicals Aluminium Iron and steel Cement
10% OTHER ENERGY	Oil & Gas production/Coal mining Energy industry, own use Heat	
25% ELECTRICITY AND HEAT	Power generation	Power generation
IPCC SECTORS	DETAILED SECTORAL BREAKDOWN	SDA

Freight is in the residual 'Other transport' category

Definition of Trucking

Companies providing primarily goods and passenger land transportation. Includes vehicle rental and taxi companies.

SBT team could find 'no

freight in the IPCC and IEA

for

on

activity information'

reports – relied

monetary surrogates

7 C approach



Scoping the Decarbonisation of Logistics



Climate Change Mitigation Measures Specified for Freight in INDCs

Content of 158 INDCs for185 countries analysed 43% explicitly refer to passenger transport **13% explicitly refer to freight transport**



Analysis by Sudhir Gota

Opportunities for Decarbonizing Freight Transport at Corporate Level

reduce transport intensity

restructure supply chains



- relocalize / decentralize
- reversal of business trends
- high carbon-mitigation costs





© alan mckinnon (2016)

Opportunities for Decarbonizing Freight Transport at Corporate Level

reduce transport intensity

restructure supply chains



- relocalize / decentralize
- reversal of business trends
- high carbon-mitigation costs

shift freight to lower carbon modes

- potentially large reduction in average carbon intensity
- reversal of past modal trend
- emphasis on corridor strategies and intermodality
- avoid long term logistical 'lockin' to high carbon modes





Unilever 'ice-cream' train from Naples

Opportunities for Decarbonizing Freight Transport

restructure supply chains





- relocalize / decentralize
- reversal of business trends
- high carbon-mitigation costs

shift freight to lower carbon modes

- potentially large reduction in average carbon intensity
- reversal of past modal trend
- emphasis on corridor strategies and intermodality



improve asset utilization



- logistical collaboration transport asset sharing
- diffusion of online load matching to emerging markets
- relaxation of vehicle / vessel size and weight constraints

raise energy efficiency





- energy-saving technologies *new build + retrofits*
- fuel economy standards: *applied to trucks and ships*
- vehicle operation: *eco-driving, IT, platooning etc.*
- business practice: *deceleration of freight movement*

Decelerating Freight Transport: Energy Saving



Relationship between Supply Chain CO₂ Emissions and Time



Opportunities for Decarbonizing Freight Transport

restructure supply chains





- relocalize / decentralize
- reversal of business trends
- high carbon-mitigation costs

shift freight to lower carbon modes

- potentially large reduction in average carbon intensity
- reversal of past modal trend
- emphasis on corridor strategies and intermodality



improve asset utilization









- relax JIT net CO₂ impact on a full life cycle basis?
- logistical collaboration *transport asset sharing*
- diffusion of online load matching to emerging markets
- relaxation of vehicle / vessel size and weight constraints

raise energy efficiency





- energy-saving technologies new build + retrofits
- fuel economy standards: *applied to trucks and ships*
- vehicle operation: *eco-driving, IT, platooning etc.*
- business practice: *deceleration of freight movement*

alter the energy mix







- repowering with low carbon electricity: *grid dependent*
- switch to bio-fuels: *slow uptake / net carbon benefits?*
- development of refuelling / recharging infrastructures

7 C approach



Cost effectiveness of decarbonization initiatives in the logistics sector

Marginal Abatement Cost Analysis of Shipping Decarbonisation Measures



Close correlation between cutting carbon emissions and saving money

Harvesting low-hanging-fruit i new 'climate-centric' business paradigms

Future economics of greening logistics activities?



Adapted from Tavasszy (2014)

7 C approach



7 C approach





Relationship between Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

'Many adaptation and mitigation options can help address climate change, but no single option is sufficient by itself.'

IPCC 5AR Synthesis Report 2014





Climate change likely to prove to be non-linear, requiring more rapid adaptation

May be grossly under-estimating the amount of effort, time and resources that will be required for adaptation and climate proofing

Global Climate Finance



Logistics Climate Research: Holistic Approach

'Little research has so far been conducted on the inter-relationship between adaptation and mitigation strategies in the transport sector' IPCC AR5 vol 3



© alan mckinnon (2015)

Examples of Future Research Questions?

To what extent will climate change adaptation increase the level of logistical activity / generate additional freight traffic and related CO₂?

How can we minimize logistics-related CO₂ emissions from:

- climate-proofing
- redistribution of population / construction of new settlements
- movement of materials for the decarbonisation of other sectors



Will carbon mitigation efforts increase / reduce vulnerability of supply chains to climate change:

e.g. modal shift to rail / inland waterways



How should future resources be allocated between mitigation and adaptation efforts in the logistics sector?

Last resort: geo-engineering to the rescue



How much material would have to be moved to keep the planet habitable?



Professor Alan McKinnon

Kühne Logistics University – the KLU Wissenschaftliche Hochschule für Logistik und Unternehmensführung Grosser Grasbrook 17 20457 Hamburg

tel.: +49 40 328707-271 fax: +49 40 328707-109

e-mail: <u>alan.mckinnon@the-klu.org</u>

www.the-klu.org/mckinnon www.alanmckinnon.co.uk